

1

#### NON-EXAM ASSESSMENT (NEA) POLICY

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with all applicable awarding bodies' regulations relating to non-exam assessment (NEA), such as NCFE, and those represented by the JCQ. In particular the policy complies with the JCQ Instructions for Conducting Non-Examination Assessments and other published guidelines when available.

Also applicable is STCG's Examinations and Assessment Policy - Procedures which covers issues such as logging receipt of papers, storing secure materials, invigilation training.

Any type of assessment that is 'not externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as NEA. It includes but is not limited to internal assessment. Also classified as NEA are externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres. This policy covers all types of NEA.

#### 1. What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of all qualifications that contain an NEA component.

#### 2. Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing NEA
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to NEA
- manage risks associated with NEA

#### 3. What are non-examination assessments?

NEAs measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages – *task setting, task taking and task marking*. Rules apply to each stage and vary by subject and qualification.

#### 4. Procedures for planning and managing NEA identifying staff roles and responsibilities

#### THE BASIC PRINCIPLES

#### **Head of Centre**

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and adherence to, the latest version of JCQ Instructions for Conducting Non-Examination Assessments and other awarding bodies' requirements where applicable
- Ensures the STCG Non-Exam Assessment Policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the STCG Internal Appeals Policy clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of any marking

#### Quality Lead (QL)/Lead internal verifier

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body NEA forms and templates (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers and that the information is understood by candidates
- Ensures an appropriate template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

## Subject head

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the NEA process
- Ensures JCQ and awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of NEA (including endorsements)
- Works with the QL/lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Ensures assessment schedules are published and circulated at the start of the academic year

## Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the NEA instructions as detailed by the JCQ and/or awarding body
- Understands and complies with JCQ's 'AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications', and informs students of relevant requirements
- Understands and complies with awarding body subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on their website
- Provides students with the JCQ notice 'Information for Candidates: Non-examination assessments'
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by awarding body
- Ensures Exams is provided with relevant subject entry codes by the internal deadline for entries

#### **Head of Exams**

- Signposts the annually updated regulations applicable to NEA to relevant college staff
- Carries out tasks when applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of NEAs

#### The following are the responsibility of the subject teacher:

#### TASK SETTING

- Selects tasks to be undertaken from a number of options provided by the awarding body
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

#### **ISSUING OF TASKS**

- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Ensures that materials are stored securely at all times

#### **TASK TAKING - Supervision**

- Ensures candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated and to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Is confident that work completed outside the college without direct supervision is the candidate's own

		~
Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS	
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024	

 Ensures candidates are aware of, understand and comply with current awarding body and JCQ documents such as Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Using social media and examinations/assessments

## ADVICE AND FEEDBACK

- Advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Does not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- During the working period, monitors progress as specified in order to be able to authenticate the work as the learner's own
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

## RESOURCES

- Refers to the awarding body specifications and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to ensure security of the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

## WORD AND TIME LIMITS

• Refers to the awarding body specifications to determine where word/length/time limits apply and ensures students' work complies

## AUTHENTICATION PROCEDURES

- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
- signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed
- Provides signed candidate declarations upon request
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in the awarding body Instructions as well as STCG suspected malpractice procedures

## PRESENTATION OF WORK

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates are to be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in the awarding body Instructions unless specifications give subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their name, candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

## **KEEPING MATERIALS SECURE**

• When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in awarding body Instructions
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work securely, including the sample returned after moderation by the awarding body, until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

### TASK MARKING

### i) Marking and annotation

### **Head of Centre**

• Ensures where a teacher is teaching, preparing and assessing a candidate with whom they have a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child is submitted for moderation, whether part of the moderation sample or not

### Subject head/lead

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted by the awarding body's deadline

#### Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks in time to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body
- Informs candidates their marks could be subject to change during awarding body's moderation process

#### ii) Internal standardisation

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation, e.g. by:
  - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
  - after most marking has been completed, holds a further review meeting, making final adjustments to marks prior to submission

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

- retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

### SUBMISSION OF MARKS AND WORK FOR MODERATION

#### Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body's secure site and by their deadline, keeping a record of the marks awarded
- Ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Provides the moderation sample to Exams by the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

#### Exams

- Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body's deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

#### STORAGE AND RETENTION OF WORK AFTER SUBMISSION OF MARKS

#### Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

#### Exams

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

## **EXTERNAL MODERATION - PROCESS**

### Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body moderators receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

## EXTERNAL MODERATION FEEDBACK

### Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

### Exams

- Forwards moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

### ACCESS ARRANGEMENTS

### Subject teacher

• Works with the SENCo equivalent to ensure any access arrangements (AAs) for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

## SENCo equivalent

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to NEA and other awarding bodies' publications
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and are the candidate's normal way of working, ensures AAs are in place and awarding body approval if relevant has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any AAs for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for AA candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an AA facilitator are fully trained in their role

#### SPECIAL CONSIDERATION/LOSS OF WORK

#### Subject teacher

• Understands that candidates may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where they are absent and/or produce a reduced quantity of work

- Liaises with Exams when special consideration may need to be applied
- Liaises with Exams to report loss of work to the awarding body

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

#### Exams

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to awarding body guidance on the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, applies for special consideration to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff to awarding body lost work processes and where applicable submits applications to the relevant awarding body

## MALPRACTICE

### Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures and other awarding bodies' publications
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing NEAs are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

### Subject teacher

- Is aware of awarding body regulations relating to sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates are made aware of and understand Information to Candidates relating to nonexamination assessments and specific topics such as the use of social media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

#### Exams

- Disseminates documentation relevant to NEA to the required audiences
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### **POST-RESULTS SERVICES**

#### Head of centre

- Is familiar publications relating to Post-Results Services
- Ensures the STCG's Internal Appeals Policy clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

## Subject head/lead

• Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

## Subject teacher

• Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available

7

• Provides Exams with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

#### Exams

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of NEAs
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available for NEAs are submitted online via awarding body's secure site within deadlines

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

## Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications

### Head of centre

• Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

## Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

### Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided
- Understands what constitutes malpractice and
- Ensures relevant relevant documents are circulated to students

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes following the awarding bodies requirements relating to cohort size and numbers for each grade
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) by set deadlines, and the storage and submission of recordings
- Ensures the carry forward process for this unit is completed

#### **Exams officer**

- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings
- Disseminates relevant documents to subject staff for circulation to students

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

# Management of issues and potential risks associated with NEAs

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Actior by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task	
failure/corruption of task	noted prior to start of course	
details where set task details	IT systems checked prior to key date	
accessed from the awarding	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task	
specification	setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's	
	specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	
the marking criteria and what	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an	
they need to do to gain credit	individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	
	candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended	
absence during the task setting	absence at key points in the exam cycle	
stage		
Issuing of tasks		
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	
issued to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set	
	task will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning,	
	resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the	
candidates	awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be	
	issued to candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
	unresolved	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended	
absence during the issuing of	absence at key points in the exam cycle	
tasks stage		
A candidate (or parent/carer)	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the	
expresses concern about	sample which will be recorded	
safeguarding, confidentiality or	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where	
faith in undertaking a task such	unable to record the required number of candidates for the	
as a presentation that may be	monitoring sample	
recorded		

		10
Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS	
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024	

Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	
other centre or candidate	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
activities		
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT	
for candidates to take tasks	facilities for the start of the course	
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for	
	number of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at	
	the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow specific	
candidates to enable work to be	instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in	
authenticated	relation to the supervision of candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and	
	responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination	
	assessment policy	
A candidate is suspected of	Instructions and processes as required by awarding bodies are	
malpractice prior to submitting	followed	
their work for assessment	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal	
	disciplinary procedures are followed	
Access arrangements were not	Relevant staff are signposted to guidance on special	
put in place for an assessment	consideration processes, to determine the routes to be followed	
where a candidate is approved	to apply for special consideration for the candidate	
for arrangements		
	Advice and feedback	
Candidate claims appropriate	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
advice and feedback not given	record all information provided to candidates before work begins	
by subject teacher prior to	as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
starting on their work	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to	
	candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to	
	starting on their work	
Candidate claims no advice and	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
feedback given by subject	record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the	
teacher during the task-taking	task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance	
stage	procedures	
	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and	
	sign-off to confirm monitoring activity	
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to	
	candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the	
	subject and component	

		11
Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS	
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024	1

Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stageA third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subjectAn investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevantRecords as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specificationWhere appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding bodyCandidate does not reference information from published sourceCandidate is advised at a general level to reference information for dates referred to awarding body information for candidates relating to non evamination accompany			
A third party claims thatAn investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are assistance was given to candidates by the subjectAn investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevantcandidates by the subjectRecords as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specificationWhere appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding bodyCandidate does not reference information from published sourceCandidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is referred to awarding body information for			
assistance was given to candidates by the subjectinterviewed and statements recorded where relevantcandidates by the subjectRecords as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistanceteacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specificationWhere appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding bodyCandidate does not reference information from publishedCandidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessmentsourceCandidate is referred to awarding body information for			
candidates by the subjectRecords as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistanceteacher over and above thatgivenallowed in the regulations andWhere appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submittedspecificationto the awarding bodyCandidate does not referenceCandidate is advised at a general level to reference informationinformation from publishedbefore work is submitted for formal assessmentsourceCandidate is referred to awarding body information for			
teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specificationgivenWhere appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding bodyCandidate does not reference information from published sourceCandidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is referred to awarding body information for			
allowed in the regulations and specificationWhere appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding bodyCandidate does not reference information from publishedCandidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is referred to awarding body information for			
specificationto the awarding bodyCandidate does not referenceCandidate is advised at a general level to reference informationinformation from publishedbefore work is submitted for formal assessmentsourceCandidate is referred to awarding body information for			
Candidate does not referenceCandidate is advised at a general level to reference informationinformation from publishedbefore work is submitted for formal assessmentsourceCandidate is referred to awarding body information for			
information from publishedbefore work is submitted for formal assessmentsourceCandidate is referred to awarding body information for			
source Candidate is referred to awarding body information for			
condidates relating to non evening the recomments			
candidates relating to non-examination assessments			
Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,			
resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued			
completion			
Candidate does not set out Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the			
references as required set out of references before work is submitted for formal			
assessment			
Candidate is referred to awarding body information for			
candidates relating to non-examination assessments			
Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,			
resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued			
completion			
Candidate joins the course late A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to			
after formally supervised task catch up			
taking has started			
Candidate moves to another Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be			
centre during the course done depending on the stage at which the move takes place			
An excluded pupil wants to The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the			
complete his/her non- specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream			
examination assessment(s) education			
If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking			
are made separately for the candidate			
Resources			
A candidate augments notes <i>Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in</i>			
and resources between formally and kept secure between formally supervised sessions			
supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected			
in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions			
Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for			
candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions			
A candidate fails to Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,			
acknowledge sources on work resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including			
that is submitted for books, websites and audio/visual resources			
assessment Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the			
candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records			
acknowledges sources appropriately			

		. –
Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS	
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024	

	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate
	Word and time limits
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been
awarding body for exceeding	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory
word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged
	from exceeding them
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on
	word or time limits is known and understood
	Collaboration and group work
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains
not permitted	unresolved
	Authentication procedures
A teacher has doubts about the	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of rules
authenticity of the work	relating to Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'
submitted by a candidate for	work
internal assessment	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need
	to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination
Candidate plagiarises other	assessments
material	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body
Candidate does not sign their	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with
authentication	information for candidates relating to non-examination
statement/declaration	assessments
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do
	to comply with the regulations relating to non-examination
	assessments
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of
	a candidate for formal assessment
Subject teacher not available to	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to
sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates
	work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures
	Presentation of work
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before
complete the awarding body's	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment
cover sheet that is attached to	
their worked submitted for	
formal assessment	
	Keeping materials secure

		13
Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS	
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024	

Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	
formal supervised sessions is	awarding body instructions for conducting non-examination	
not securely stored	assessments	
	Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	
	appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to	
available to subject teacher	subject teacher prior to the start of the course	
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	
Task marking – internally assesse	ed components	
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as	
work	absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	
	assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	
	body	
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to awarding body guidance on the	_
their work for unforeseen	special consideration process to determine eligibility and the	
reason	process to be followed for shortfall in work	
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to awarding body instructions for	
or damaged	conducting non-examination assessments to determine eligibility	
	and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	
Candidate malpractice is	Awarding body instructions and processes are followed	
discovered	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	
A teacher marks the work of a	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body	
candidate with whom they have	that a teacher is preparing/teaching said young person at the	
a close relationship e.g. members of their family (which	start of the course	
includes step-family, foster	Marked work of said young person is submitted for moderation	
family and similar close	whether part of the sample requested or not	
relationships) or close friends		
and their immediate family (e.g.		
son/daughter)		
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be	
submission of marks is required	granted	
for a legitimate reason	Relevant staff are signposted to awarding body guidance on the	
	special consideration process to determine eligibility and the	
	process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	
discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to awarding body guidance on the	
was given to candidates	special consideration process to determine eligibility and the	
	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded	
appeal/request a review of the	for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding	
marks awarded for their work	body	
by their teacher	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024

	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	
	through the awarding body's moderation process	
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified	
	in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the	
	internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of	
	marks	
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made	
	aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale	
	for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's	
	marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	
for formal assessment not met	the start of the course	
by candidate	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought	
	to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	
	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can	
	be met	
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will	
	be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the	
	awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each	
and samples of candidates work	academic year	
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as	
	deadlines approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject	
	teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended	
absence during the marking	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	
period		
	·	

Policy Title: Non-Examination Assessment Policy	Staff Members Responsible: Heads of Exams/Vice Principal QDLS
Version: September 2023	Review Date: September 2024